$\bar{x}$ : An optimal solution to (LP).

**Goal:** Tighten relaxation of  $P_I$  via valid inequalities cutting off  $\bar{x}$ .

Throughout, S will denote a split set  $\{x : \pi_0 \le \pi x \le \pi_0 + 1\}$ satisfying  $P_I \cap \operatorname{int} S = \emptyset$  and  $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{int} S$ .

Let  $F^0 = \{x : \pi x = \pi_0\}$  and  $F^1 = \{x : \pi x = \pi_0 + 1\}$ .

## COMPUTATION

Define  $\sigma = \{j \in I : \bar{x}_j \notin \mathbb{Z}\}$  as the set of integer variables fractional at  $\bar{x}$ . Let  $S_j = \{x : |\bar{x}_j| \le x_j \le \lceil \bar{x}_j \rceil\}$  be the simple split on  $x_j$  for  $j \in \sigma$ .

**Experiments:** Measured percentage of integrality gap closed by tilted cuts on 42 small (at most 500 rows and 500 columns) benchmark instances from MIPLIB, where the cuts were generated from all possible simple splits.

GMI cuts are used as benchmark. Tilted cuts are added together with GMI cuts in results.

How to tilt?

### Which inequalities to tilt?

For  $q \in \{0, 1\}$ , set  $\beta^q = \min\{hx : x \in P \cap F^q\}$ .

	GMI	<b>T0</b>	<b>T1</b>
Gap closed	22.9	31.8	35.2
Time		0.1	45.4
# cuts / # GMI	1.0	1.0	15.2
# cuts / # bounds	0.1	0.1	1.4

Instance	GMI	TO	<b>T1</b>	<b>T1</b> *	<b>T1</b> *M	T <sub>1K</sub>	T <sup>+</sup> <sub>10K</sub>	#GMI	#T1	<b>#T1</b> *	#T1*M	#T <sup>+</sup> <sub>1K</sub>	#T <sup>+</sup> <sub>10K</sub>
bell3a	45.1	61.5	64.0	64.0	61.6	64.6	64.6	27	523	125	36	458	458
misc01	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6	12	410	36	11	170	170
mod013	4.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	5.7	26.2	26.2	5	5	5	1	57	57
p0282	3.7	40.3	85.7	81.3	81.3	17.2	17.2	26	510	64	39	1,000	1,190
prod1	0.0	40.9	64.3	63.3	63.5	9.1	9.1	53	2,002	196	130	1,000	3,849
rlp2	0.6	1.9	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.7	2.7	39	1,982	61	38	762	762
stein45*	7.1	27.7	27.7	27.7	23.7	27.8	31.4	45	46	45	1	1,000	3,130
timtab1	23.7	23.7	31.4	31.1	31.8	26.4	34.2	136	3,431	429	146	1,000	10,000

# Cutting planes by tilting

Egon Balas, Aleksandr M. Kazachkov, François Margot

# THEORY

**Input:** (LP):  $\min\{cx : x \in P\}$  where  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \ge b, x \ge 0\}$  and A is a rational  $m \times n$  matrix. (MILP):  $\min\{cx : x \in P_I\}$  where  $P_I = \{x \in P : x_j \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in I\}$  for a subset  $I \subseteq [n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

#### Theorem. Let $\beta^0$ and $\beta^1$ be given such that, for $q \in \{0, 1\}$ , $hx \ge \beta^q$ is valid for $P \cap F^q$ .





(T0) Natural first choice: objective vector. (T1) Other inequalities in input: row and column bounds.

Then the inequality  $\alpha x \ge \beta$  as defined below is valid for  $\operatorname{conv}(P \setminus \operatorname{int} S)$  if either the inequality cuts a vertex of  $P \cap \text{int } S$  or there exists a point  $p^q \in P \cap F^q$  achieving  $hp^q = \beta^q$  for q = 0 and q = 1.



 $\alpha_j = h_j + (\beta^0 - \beta^1)\pi_j, \quad j \in [n]$  $\beta = \beta^0 + (\beta^0 - \beta^1)\pi_0$ 

# **EXTENSIONS**

### Strength

### Can we **mix** information from different splits?

**Theorem.** (Günlük and Pochet, 2001) For  $j \in \sigma$ , let  $\underline{\beta}_{j} = \min\{\beta^{0}, \beta^{1}\}$  and  $\overline{\beta}_{j} = \max\{\beta^{0}, \beta^{1}\}$ . Let  $\overline{\beta}_{0} = \max\{\underline{\beta}_{i} : j \in \sigma\}$ . Assume  $\overline{\beta}_{j} \leq \overline{\beta}_{j'}$  if j < j'. Then the following inequality is valid for  $P_I$ .

$$hx \ge \bar{\beta}_0 + \sum_{j \in \sigma} (\bar{\beta}_j - \bar{\beta}_{j-1}) x_j$$

### This is tilting.



#### $\mathbf{GMI} \quad \mathbf{T1} \quad \mathbf{T1M} \quad \mathbf{T1}^* \quad \mathbf{T1}^*\mathbf{M}$ 22.9 35.2 35.4 **35.1 35.1** Gap closed 45.4 **45.8 3.1 3.1** Time # cuts / # GMI 1.0 15.2 4.4 2.0 0.8 # cuts / # bounds 0.1 1.4 0.3 0.2 0.1

Both?

### Other ways to use several splits at once?

(**T**<sup>+</sup>) Tilt intersection cuts from  $P \cap F^q$ .

For validity, these are only generated from splits on binary variables.



#### Many, many cuts.

	$T_{1K}^+$	$T_{10K}^+$	
Gap closed	35.8	37.7	-
Time	0.6	2.5	-
# cuts / # GMI	17.0	26.5	
# cuts / # bounds	1.7	2.8	-

### But strong and fast.



### Too slow, too many cuts!

number of GMI cuts.





Order of magnitude more efficient, but still doubling





#### Conclusions

- Results show we can use **tilting** to generate strong cuts quickly.
- n fact, cuts can be generated for free while gathering strong branching information.
- Many open questions left, both from the computational and theoretical sides.

### Much more left to do!

 Espinoza, D., Fukasawa, R., and Goycoolea, M. (2010). Lifting, tilting and fractional programming revisited. *Operations Research Letters*, *38*(6), 559-563.
Günlük, O. and Pochet, Y. (2001). Mixing mixed-integer inequalities. *Mathematical* Programming, 90(3), 429-457. 3. Richard, J.-P. P. (2011). Lifting techniques for mixed integer programming. Wiley Encyclopedia of Operations Research and Management Science.